PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom f	the RNATIONAL SEAF	CHING AUTHO	DRITY	PEC'D 11 MAY 2005 WIPO PCT				
To:	WATTOWNE SEAT							
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
				Date of mailing (day/ποnth/year) see	form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
	cant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below				
	national application N F/EP2005/050405		International filing date (c	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.02.2004			
Inter	national Patent Class D487/08, C07D4	sification (IPC) or 171/08, A61K3	both national classification 1/4995, A61K31/551,	and IPC A61P25/00				
Applicant NEUROSEARCH A/S								
	This opinion co	entaine indicati	ions relating to the fol	owing items:				
1.	_	on contains indications relating to the following items:						
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the or	pinion					
	⊠ Box No. II	Priority	t_f animine with roa	and to povolty inventiv	e step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. III	Lack of unity		ald to hovery, inventiv	o blop and meeting approximation			
	☐ Box No. IV 図 Box No. V	Reasoned sta		s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement			
	☑ Box No. VI	Certain docun	nents cited					
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	ts in the international ap	plication				
	☐ Box No. VIII	II Certain observations on the international application						
2.	FURTHER ACT							
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this international Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further option	ons, see Form P	PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u>a</u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Bosma, P

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3665



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050405

_	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion							
1.		With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).							
2.		With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material:								
		a sequence listing							
		table(s) related to the sequence listing							
	b. for	. format of material:							
		in written format							
		in computer readable form							
	c. time of filing/furnishing:								
		contained in the International application as filed.							
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.								
4. Additional comments:									
_	Box	No. II Priority							
1.	C r	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority ones not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where equired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.							
2.	h	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	Addit	onal observations, if necessary:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

٧ <u>.</u>

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050405

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The obv	questions whether the claimed ious), or to be industrially applica	inven able h	tion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non nave not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,						
☒	claims Nos. 44 with repect to IA						
bec	ause:						
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 44 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
П	See separate sheet for further	detai	Is				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050405

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-44

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-44

1-43

Yes: Claims

Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Re Item III.

Claim 44 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

For the assessment of the present claim 44 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claim. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item V.

Novelty and inventive step and Industrial applicability:

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 00/58311 A (SANOFI-SYNTHELABO; GALLET, THIERRY; JEGHAM, SAMIR; LARDENOIS, PATRICK;) 5 October 2000 (2000-10-05)
- 2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses 1,4-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]nonane-4-carboxylate and -carboxamide derivatives as Inhibitors of nicotinic receptors containing an alpha 7 sub-unit.
 Such compounds are useful e.g. for the treatment of CNS disorders associated with cognitive changes, such as Alzheimer's and age associated memory impairment, Parkinson's, Down's syndrome, Korsakoff's syndrome, multi-infarct dementia, Huntington's, Tourette's syndrome, dyskinesia, hyperkinesia, schizophrenia, depression, anxiety, panic attacks, compulsive obsessional behavior, drug and tobacco withdrawal symptoms, Crohn's disease, ulcerous colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, and obesity.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as the provision of further compounds which are useful as Inhibitors of nicotinic receptors.

This problem has been solved by the present 1,4-diazabicyclo[3.2.2]nonane-4-carbonyl

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/050405

derivatives of the general formula (I) having a further moiety -A'-L-A''-B attached to the carbonyl group, in which A' and A" represent cyclic groups, and B a monocyclic heterocyclic group or an amino derivative, which compounds are useful as Inhibitors of nicotinic receptors.

Such a -A'-L-A"-B moiety is not known or suggested by any of the available prior art documents.

Therefore it is considered that the subject-matter of claims 1-44 is new (Article 33(2) PCT), and also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

For the Industrial Applicability of claim 44 see Section III above.